To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]

From: Dermer, Michele

Sent: Fri 1/8/2016 12:10:15 AM Subject: RE: Meeting with DOGGR

I am on your calendar for tomorrow. Ostensively to discuss my schedule, but I figured other items might come up.

From: Albright, David

Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2016 4:05 PM

To: Dermer, Michele < Dermer. Michele @epa.gov>

Cc: Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV>

Subject: RE: Meeting with DOGGR

Attorney - Client Privilege DO NOT RELEASE

Ken suggested they would have the spreadsheet ready in a few weeks time. As for the Elk Hills field, nothing definitive, but let's talk tomorrow.

From: Dermer, Michele

Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2016 3:54 PM **To:** Albright, David < Albright. David@epa.gov >

Cc: Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV>

Subject: RE: Meeting with DOGGR

Thanks David, this is very helpful! I guess my question would be when they expect to have this grand AE planning spreadsheet done? Did you get the sense of that, it sounds like maybe some months?

I would also be interested to know where Elk Hills falls since we have our Class I wells to try to look at with some consistency.

From: Albright, David

Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2016 3:40 PM

To: Dermer, Michele < <u>Dermer.Michele@epa.gov</u>>; Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV>

Subject: Meeting with DOGGR

Mike and I had a quick meeting today with Ken Harris, Jon Bishop, and Rob Habel. It was a meet and greet that Mike had set up. We received the following updates from them –

- * Emergency regulations for gas storage wells (per the Governor's Aliso Canyon emergency declaration) will be released early next week.
- * Discussion draft of UIC regulations will be released subsequent to the emergency regulations for gas storage wells (about a week later).
- * Aliso Canyon is taking up a lot of DOGGR/Ken's time.
- * Arroyo Grande package should be submitted in 2-3 weeks; WTR board still has some comments on the package but Jonathan noted that the "15-day comments" did not raise any issues that would prevent their final concurrence.
- * DOGGR and the Water Board are working on a spreadsheet of all AEs that they are expecting about 10-15 in Coastal Region and 30+ in the Bakersfield area. They will share this spreadsheet with EPA after DOGGR and the Water/Regional Boards assign a priority to each AE (1-4) and assign staff to each one. They are also trying to identify which of the potential AE requests will be DOA (b/c of existing wells/beneficial use) so that they can notify operators of their need to close wells. For this latter effort, they noted a need to confer with the Gov. office before contacting these operators.
- * The 10-15 and 30+ AEs noted above are for wells that are clearly outside exempt areas. They acknowledged that other potential AEs may be needed where injection wells are within the 2-D surface shaded area, but where the upcoming project by project review determines that they are not injecting into an exempt zone. The timing for these will go beyond 2017, since the project reviews extend beyond that date.

Those were the main points.

Let me know if you have questions about this.

David

